

# Management Plan for the South Australian Commercial Sardine Fishery

October 2023



## Management plan for the South Australian Commercial Sardine Fishery 2023

Information current as of 1 October 2023

### All enquiries

Fisheries and Aquaculture  
Department of Primary Industries and Regions (PIRSA)  
2 Hamra Avenue, West Beach  
GPO Box 1671, Adelaide SA 5001

Front cover image courtesy of South Australian Sardine Industry Association.

### Disclaimer:

This Management Plan has been prepared pursuant to the *Fisheries Management Act 2007* (the Act) for the purpose of the administration of that Act. The Department of Primary Industries and Regions SA (and the Government of South Australia) make no representation, express or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this Management Plan or as to the suitability of that information for any particular purpose. Use of or reliance upon information contained in this Management Plan is at the sole risk of the user in all things and the Department of Primary Industries and Regions SA (and the Government of South Australia) disclaim any responsibility for that use or reliance and any liability to the user.

### Copyright Notice:

This work is copyright. Copyright in this work is owned by the Government of South Australia. Apart from any use permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968* (Commonwealth), no part of this work may be reproduced by any process without written permission of the Government of South Australia. Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction of this work should be addressed to the Chief Executive, Department of Primary Industries and Regions SA, 25 Grenfell Street, Adelaide, SA, 5000 (marked attention Executive Director, Fisheries and Aquaculture Division).

### The South Australian Fisheries Management Series

**Paper number XXX: Management Plan for the South Australian Commercial Sardine Fishery (2022)**

**ISBN: xxxx**

**ISSN: xxxx**

## Contents

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 1    | Fishery to which this plan applies .....                        | 4  |
| 2    | Consistency with other management plans.....                    | 4  |
| 3    | Term of plan .....  | 4  |
| 4    | Description of the fishery .....                                | 5  |
| 4.1  | Historical overview .....                                       | 6  |
| 4.2  | Threatened, endangered and protected (TEPS) species.....        | 8  |
| 4.3  | Ecosystem and habitat.....                                      | 9  |
| 4.4  | Biology of key species .....                                    | 10 |
| 4.5  | Biological status of Australian Sardines .....                  | 11 |
| 4.6  | Economic characteristics .....                                  | 11 |
| 5    | Ecologically sustainable risk assessment .....                  | 12 |
| 6    | Goals and Objectives.....                                       | 13 |
| 7    | Co-management arrangements .....                                | 24 |
| 8    | Allocation of access between sectors .....                      | 25 |
| 8.1  | Current allocated shares of the resource .....                  | 25 |
| 8.2  | Information for adjusting allocations of access in future ..... | 26 |
| 8.3  | Allocation triggers .....                                       | 26 |
| 8.4  | Review of allocations of access in future.....                  | 26 |
| 9    | Harvest Strategy.....   | 29 |
| 9.1  | Introduction.....   | 29 |
| 9.2  | Objectives.....   | 29 |
| 9.3  | Species.....  | 30 |
| 9.4  | Assessment method .....   | 30 |
| 9.5  | Previous strategies .....                                       | 31 |
| 9.6  | Performance Indicators.....                                     | 31 |
| 9.7  | Spatial management.....   | 34 |
| 9.8  | Decision rules .....  | 35 |
| 9.9  | Meta-rules.....   | 38 |
| 9.10 | Decision-making process.....                                    | 38 |
| 9.11 | Examples.....   | 39 |
| 9.12 | Review.....   | 40 |
| 10   | Stock assessment and research.....                              | 40 |
| 10.1 | Research services .....   | 40 |
| 10.2 | Data collection and analysis.....                               | 40 |
| 10.3 | Strategic research plan .....                                   | 41 |
| 11   | Compliance and monitoring .....                                 | 41 |
| 12   | Strategic Policy Priorities .....                               | 42 |
| 13   | Resources required to implement plan .....                      | 43 |
| 14   | Review of plan.....   | 44 |
| 15   | References .....  | 45 |
| 16   | Appendices.....   | 49 |

## 1 Fishery to which this plan applies

This plan applies to the class of commercial fishing activities involving the taking of Australian Sardines and Australian Anchovy in South Australian waters using the purse seine method (using a sardine net) pursuant to a Sardine Fishery licence. This class of fishing activity is part of the formally constituted *Fisheries Management (Sardine Fishery) Regulations 2021* (hereafter referred to as the Sardine Fishery Regulations). This plan describes the management framework for the fishery.

## 2 Consistency with other management plans

This management plan has been developed to be consistent with other management plans where applicable.

This management plan has also been developed so that it can be integrated with any Aboriginal traditional fishing management plans that are made in the future that apply to the waters of this fishery. No indigenous land use agreements (ILUAs) and therefore no Aboriginal traditional fishing management plans had been made at the time of writing this management plan.

## 3 Term of plan

This management plan applies from 1 October 2023 for a period of ten years. A comprehensive review of this management plan will be undertaken as soon as practicable after the fifth anniversary of the commencement of this plan under section 46(2) of *the Fisheries Management Act 2007* (hereafter referred to as the Act) to determine whether it should be amended, replaced or reinstated without amendment (see Section 14).

## 4 Description of the fishery

The Sardine Fishery is primarily based on the capture of Australian Sardine (*Sardinops sagax*) using a sardine net. The take of Australian Anchovy (*Engraulis australis*), Maray (*Etrumeus teres*), Blue Sprat (*Spratelloides robustus*) and Sandy Sprat (*Hyperlophus vittatus*) are also permitted in the area of the Fishery. Access to the Sardine Fishery is provided through a licence for the Sardine Fishery with a sardine net endorsement.



Figure 1: The area of waters of the Sardine Fishery

The area of the Sardine Fishery includes all the waters adjacent to the state of South Australia to the edge of the 200-nautical-mile Australian Fishing Zone (Figure 1), with the exception of closed areas. The legislative instrument defining the area of jurisdiction for the Sardine Fishery is the 1996 Offshore Constitutional Settlement arrangements for scalefish species between South Australia and the Commonwealth of Australia.

The fishery is managed through an individual transferable quota (ITQ) system with a total allowable commercial catch (TACC) set for each 12-month period. In 2010, spatial management zones were implemented to encourage development of the fishery outside of Spencer Gulf and Gulf St Vincent. In 2013, the zones were amended to address concerns regarding declines in size and age composition of Sardines caught in southern Spencer Gulf.

The fishery has complementary input controls including limited entry and gear restrictions.

Sardines are primarily used as feed for Southern Bluefin Tuna, which are farmed by the aquaculture industry near Port Lincoln, South Australia. Small amounts are also sold for human consumption and as recreational fishing bait.

## 4.1 Historical overview

In February 1991, the (then) Department of Fisheries provided 20 Marine Scalefish Fishery (MSF) licence holders with net endorsements access to take Sardines using a small-mesh purse seine net thus establishing a fishery for Australian Sardines (then called 'pilchards') (Mackie 1995). Catches initially were low, as few licence holders took up Sardine fishing on a full-time basis and the fishery was unable to supply the newly established Tuna farms with required supply of Sardines. The access entitlement was subsequently reviewed and, as a result seven MSF licence holders and seven fishers previously nominated by the Australian Tuna Boat Owners Association (ATBOA) were granted access to fishing activity in 1993 administered by Ministerial exemptions.

In 1996, access was also granted to licence holders with a Commonwealth Southern Bluefin Tuna Permit to take the genera/species *Emmelichthyes*, *Trachurus*, *Sardinops*, *Clupea*, *Scomber australasicus* and *Engraulis* for chum bait purposes whilst targeting Southern Bluefin Tuna. These management arrangements were legislated pursuant to the 1996 Offshore Constitutional Settlement arrangements for scalefish species between South Australia and the Commonwealth.

In 2000, a Pilchard Fishery Independent Advisory Allocation Panel advised the then Minister, that the 14 Ministerial exemption holders should maintain their access to the Sardine resource in waters adjacent to South Australia; seven by virtue of holding a commercial licence in the MSF and seven as nominees of the ATBOA.

Mass Sardine mortality events in 1995 and 1998 resulted in the Sardine Fishery being closed for a short period during each event. These events have been attributed to a Herpes-like virus of unknown origin (Ward et al. 2001b) and killed approximately 70 per cent of the spawning biomass (SpB) in South Australian waters (Ward et al. 2001b). Difficulties in reliably estimating Sardine age have impeded the assessment of the fishery's capacity to recover from these events (Ward et al. 2012), but the fact that recoveries have occurred relatively quickly demonstrates the fishery's robustness.

Explicit spatial management arrangements were adopted in the 2014 Harvest Strategy (PIRSA 2014) to protect stocks of Sardines in southern Spencer Gulf from depletion of target-sized fish and adverse impacts on the ecosystem. That Harvest Strategy defined two zones in the Sardine Fishery – the Gulfs Zone (GZ) and the Outside Zone (OZ). In 2020, a trial of a refinement to the spatial management arrangements for the Sardine Fishery was implemented providing for 6,000 tonnes (t) of OZ quota entitlements to be harvested from Gulf St Vincent (GSV). The benefits of establishing a GSV Zone was to reduce fishing costs and improve product quality compared to fishing in the OZ.

In 2021, the Sardine Fishery was formally constituted under the Sardine regulations, separating the fishery from the MSF. At this time, new fishing licences were issued to each of the 14 MSF licence holders who held a MSF licence with a sardine net

endorsement. Table 1 provides a summary of the major milestones in the development of the Sardine Fishery.

*Table 1: A chronology of major management milestones in the sardine fishery*

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Event</b>   |
|-------------|--|
| 1991        | 20 MSF licence holders access to take Sardines using a small-mesh purse seine net a sardine fishery using purse seine nets.  |
| 1992        | Access entitlements reviewed due to low catches and limited commitment to the fishery.   |
| 1993        | Seven MSF licence holders and seven fishers nominated by the ATBOA granted access to sardines.   |
| 1995        | The first management plan was implemented for the Sardine fishery.   |
| 1995        | The first daily egg production method (DEPM) survey was completed  |
| 1995        | Mass mortality event occurred attributed to the Herpes-like virus of unknown origin.   |
| 1996        | Access granted to Commonwealth Southern Bluefin Tuna statutory fishing right holders to take Sardines and anchovies to use as chum bait whilst targeting Southern Bluefin Tuna.  |
| 1998        | Mass mortality event occurred attributed to the Herpes-like virus of unknown origin.   |
| 2000        | An independent advisory panel provided advice in regard to future access of the resource. Fourteen fishers retained access to the sardine fishery (seven MSF licence and seven nominated by the ATBOA).  |
| 2004        | The first ecological study was completed for the fishery.  |
| 2005        | Second management plan implemented   |
| 2005        | Sardine fishery closed due to evidence of increased Common Dolphin mortalities in sardine nets. The fishery was reopened two months later upon the introduction of a Code of Practice to reduce encirclements and mortalities and Independent Observer Program was implemented |
| 2007        | A revised Harvest Strategy was implemented through an addendum to the 2005 management plan.  |
| 2010        | Spatial management zones introduced to explore the feasibility of sardine fishing outside the gulfs.   |
| 2012        | The TACC increased mid-season by 2000 t to address an unanticipated shortfall of feed for SBT.   |
| 2013        | The 2013 TACC reduced by 2000t in response to the additional quota provided in 2012.   |
| 2013        | Spatial management measures were implemented in the fishery in response to a decline in size and age of sardines caught in southern Spencer Gulf in the previous seasons.  |
| 2014        | 2014 management plan implemented as Part B of the MSF management plan  |
| 2020        | Trial of refined spatial management arrangements with establishment of a GSV Zone  |
| 2020        | Additional quota issued to mitigate impact of COVID-19   |
| 2021        | Carry over of uncaught quota from 2020 as COVID-19 assistance measure<br>2021 TACC set taking into account additional quota provided in 2020.<br>Separation of the fishery from MSF through new regulations.   |

## 4.2 Threatened, endangered and protected (TEPS) species

In November 2004, an independent monitoring program was initiated to monitor interactions of the Sardine Fishery with threatened, endangered and protected species (TEPS) to address recommendations under the Commonwealth *Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. This monitoring program indicated the fishery was having interactions with Common Dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*) and an independent observer program was implemented, initially at a level of 10% of fishing nights, increased to 30% in 2007 (Hamer et al. 2009).

In response to the interactions, industry implemented a Code of Practice (CoP) to mitigate interactions with Common Dolphins by introducing an avoidance and delay approach. This CoP raised awareness within the industry and saw a reduction in the interaction rates with Common Dolphins (Hamer et al. 2009). In 2008, the CoP was reviewed and refinements were made, including requirements that operators delay the commencement of fishing prior to setting the net and dropping the front of the net if they noticed a dolphin enclosed in the net. This, together with improved implementation of the CoP, saw an increase in the effectiveness of the Sardine industry to mitigate against interactions (Hamer et al. 2009).

In 2010, the required level of observer coverage returned to 10% following reduced number of dolphin interactions, and in 2013 observer coverage changed from being based on nights fished to the number of net sets, in order to improve the effectiveness and transparency of the program.

A project was undertaken during 2010 to analyse the effectiveness of the CoP to mitigate interactions with dolphins and found it to be highly effective and successful (Ward et al. 2010b). The report also highlighted the statistical difference between observer data and logbook data in the 2006-07 financial year (Ward et al. 2010b). This difference decreased in 2009-10 (Ward et al. 2010b). A reduction in encirclements was also reported and attributed to the industry's avoidance procedures and the reduction in mortalities to industry's reaction times and the success of the adopted release procedures (Ward et al. 2010b). Despite the effectiveness of the CoP in reducing Common Dolphin interactions, the discrepancy between the observed interaction rates and logbook interaction rates remained a focus of managers and stakeholders (Ward et al. 2010b).

In 2011, the South Australian Sardine Industry Association (SASIA) started collecting real-time information on interactions to help improve transparency resulting in a marked reduction in the discrepancy between interaction rates. In the two years from 2018-2020 the highest level of mortality was reported since the inception of the industry CoP and corresponding independent observer program, where a total of 15 dolphin mortalities in 4 individual events occurred.

As a result, the following actions were undertaken:

- The level of observer coverage for the 2020 calendar year was increased to 20% of net sets
- SASIA in collaboration with PIRSA undertook a review of the industry CoP



- The SASIA CoP was modified to incorporate training and education requirements for crew aboard vessels in the fishery.

In 2021/22, observed encirclement rates were comparable with rates when observers were not on board, but the observed mortality rate of 3.6 dolphins per 100 net sets was 36 times the unobserved rate (0.1 dolphins per 100 net sets) (Kirkwood and Goldsworthy 2022).

Fishing behaviour was reported to be different in the presence of an observer (more net sets per nights, more zero net sets with zero sardine catch and less tonnage caught per net set). Individual vessel catch was between 23% and 66% lower if an observer was present.

Over the last 15+ years consistent discrepancies in the observed and unobserved dolphin mortality rates and fishing behaviour suggests a significant observer effect (i.e. fishers fish differently when an observer is present). Although fishers apply the CoP effectively when observers are present, it is unclear how well the CoP is applied in the absence of observers.

Recommendations to reduce uncertainty in dolphin mortality levels and to improve confidence that the fishery is taking adequate steps to minimise and mitigate interactions with dolphins have been suggested, including that the use of alternative observing systems such as electronic monitoring be considered.

The level of observer coverage for the fishery was set at 20% for the 2023/24 period to improve the level of observed fishing activity.

Further, under the current Wildlife Trade Operation accreditation for the SASF under Part 13 of the EPBC Act 1999, the Commonwealth Department for Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water has prescribed a number of conditions relating to the mitigation and monitoring of dolphin interactions. These conditions are consistent with the outcomes of the Literature Review: monitoring and mitigation interaction with dolphins (Ward et al. 2023) which outlined eight options to improve monitoring and mitigation of dolphin interactions in the SASF. Industry has continuously been proactive in implementing any measures considered appropriate to help reduce the discrepancy between the two rates and to reduce the level of interactions with dolphins. SASIA has established a TEPS working group that holds quarterly meetings to monitor interactions and the effectiveness of the CoP.

Annual reports of TEPS interactions and effectiveness of the COP are published by SARDI on [https://www.pir.sa.gov.au/research/publications/research\\_reports](https://www.pir.sa.gov.au/research/publications/research_reports), the only purse seine fishery globally to conduct such reporting.

### 4.3 Ecosystem and habitat

The Great Australian Bight contains a broad continental shelf (up to 200 km wide) that is the location of the world's only northern boundary current upwelling ecosystem (Goldsworthy et al. 2011). The area where the continental shelf waters and the southern Spencer Gulf meet forms a complex oceanographic system (Goldsworthy et al. 2011). At the mouth of the gulf, thermal and salinity fronts limit the exchange

between cool, low-salinity water (outside the gulf) and the warmer, higher salinity water (inside the gulf). The system is not greatly understood but is thought to play an important ecological role in the region (Goldsworthy et al. 2011).

This region supports coastal upwelling in summer and autumn, as well as numerous currents along the continental shelf – providing a complex interaction of oceanographic processes. These processes support a high level of planktonic production during upwelling periods and a diverse range of marine species with high conservation significance and substantial economic value to local ecological communities (Goldsworthy et al. 2011). These conditions are suitable for the spawning, survival and growth of a diverse small pelagic fish comprising of six families – Clupeidae, Engraulidae, Scombridae, Carangidae, Emmelichthyidae and Scomberesocidae (Goldsworthy et al. 2011). From these six families there are 10 key species found in South Australia – Australian Sardine (*S. sagax*), Australian Anchovy (*E. australis*), Maray (*Etrumeus teres*), Sandy Sprat (*Hyperlophus vittatus*), Blue Sprat (*Spratelloides robustus*), mackerels (*Trachurus declivis* and *T. novaezelandiae*), Blue Mackerel (*Scomber australasicus*), Redbait (*Emmelichthys nitidus*) and King Gar (*Scomberesox saurus*). Of these small pelagic fish, the family Clupeidae is dominant, with five species occurring in the region (Goldsworthy et al. 2011).

#### 4.4 Biology of key species

Sardines occur in cool, temperate to subtropical waters along with species of Anchovy, and in South Australia are the dominant clupeid, occurring from the southern parts of both gulfs to past the continental shelf (Ward et al. 2001b) and supporting the largest component of the Australian population (Ward et al. 2012).

Australian Anchovies are also abundant in South Australian waters and occur mostly in the northern part of the gulfs when Sardine biomass is high, but with the capacity to increase abundance and expand distribution into shelf waters when Sardine abundance is reduced (Ward et al. 2012).

Sardines are serial spawners and release numerous batches of pelagic eggs. The eggs are released throughout the spawning season, between January to April with approximately 10,000 to 30,000 eggs spawned per female each week (Ward et al. 2012). After fertilisation, Sardine eggs take two days to hatch, followed by a relatively long larval phase of one to two months. In South Australia, larvae are abundant at the mouth of the gulfs and along the shelf waters, coinciding with the upwelling periods (Ward et al. 2012).

##### 4.4.1 Role in the ecosystem

Sardines are an important food source for many predatory fishes, squid, seabirds and marine mammals (e.g. Pikitch et al. 2012). However, the reliance of predators on Sardine and other small pelagic fishes varies among ecosystems and species (e.g. Smith et al. 2011; Hilborn et al. 2017). The trophic role of Sardines is particularly important in ‘wasp-wasted’ ecosystems, such as those found in the productive California, Humboldt and Benguela Current systems where one or two species usually dominate the pelagic fish biomass (e.g. Cury et al. 2000). In contrast, several studies

have shown that Australia's less productive pelagic ecosystems support a wide range of small to medium sized planktivores and that few predators are highly dependent on a single prey species (Bulman et al. 2011; Smith et al. 2015). In South Australian waters, marine predators feed opportunistically on a wide range of prey species and none have been shown to be primarily dependent on Sardine as a food source (Goldsworthy et al. 2013).

## 4.5 Biological status of Australian Sardines

The performance indicator (PI) for the Sardine Fishery is primarily the estimate of SpB obtained from undertaking a DEPM survey, which was first completed in 1995.

Grammer et al. (2021), reported the SpB of the Australian Sardine was in a relatively strong position with estimates of SpB (from surveys in 2019 and 2020) well above the limit reference point of 75,000 t. Further, the 2021 assessment stated the model outputs suggest the SpB has been relatively stable at approximately 270,000 t (range: 170,000 t to 370,000 t) over the last decade. Stock status of the Sardine Fishery is reported regularly in SARDI research reports available at:

[https://www.pir.sa.gov.au/research/publications/research\\_reports](https://www.pir.sa.gov.au/research/publications/research_reports)

## 4.6 Economic characteristics

The economic and social objectives of the fishery are reported within an annual economic report which currently includes economic and social indicators for the South Australian Sardine Fishery. The Gross Value of Production (GVP) in 2021/22 was estimated at \$A29.1 million, provided \$A38.2 million to Gross State Product (GSP) and 205 full time equivalent jobs. Economic reports for the Sardine Fishery are available at: <https://www.bdo.com.au>.

Whilst GVP is not used in the current cost recovery modelling in South Australia, indicators with respect to GVP are considered to be valuable indicators of fisheries economic performance and can highlight outcomes from key industry or management changes. These data are one of many economic indicators for the fishery.

Economics of the Sardine Fishery can be difficult to interpret as many of the companies that operate in the fishery are vertically integrated (where a business owns multiple stages of production). In this case, a company that holds a Sardine Fishery licence may also own and operate a processing facility, other fishery access rights such as the Commonwealth's Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery or Spencer Gulf Prawn Fishery, aquaculture leases to ranch tuna (the main market for Sardines) or a slipway to maintain vessels.

In 2020/21, an estimated 99.8 per cent of Sardine catch was sold as Southern Bluefin Tuna feed. Given the vertical integration of the SASF with the Southern Bluefin Tuna aquaculture industry, business structure and ownership between industries, the SASF provides significant economic flow on benefits to the community beyond that of the fishery itself.

## 5 Ecologically sustainable risk assessment

The Act currently requires that the following ecological impacts be identified and assessed as the first step in developing a management plan:

- Current known impacts of the fishery on the ecosystem.
- Potential impacts of the fishery on the ecosystem.
- Ecological factors that could have an impact on the performance of the fishery.

The ecological impacts associated with the Sardine Fishery have been identified and assessed through a review of the ecologically sustainable development (ESD) risk assessment for the Sardine Fishery conducted in 2021, using the *National Ecologically Sustainable Development Reporting Framework for Australian Fisheries* (Fletcher et al. 2002) to guide the assessment.

The ecological, economic and social factors that affect the management of the Sardine Fishery were prioritised by stakeholders using risk ratings from negligible to extreme. A report describing the outcomes of the assessment are available through PIRSA (in prep). A performance report for the risks rated Moderate or above are provided at Appendix 1.

## 6 Goals and Objectives

The objects of the *Fisheries Management Act 2007* are to protect, manage, use and develop the aquatic resources of the State in a manner that is consistent with ESD. The Act also requires that management plans must be consistent with the Act's objects. The Act identifies a number of biological, social and economic factors that must be balanced in pursuing ESD; however, the principle of avoiding over-exploitation of resources and ensuring that those resources are not endangered is specified as the primary consideration.

The four key goals for the Sardine Fishery are set out below and are linked to the operational objectives for the fishery. PIs and reference points for each objective are provided to allow for assessment of the management plan in meeting the identified goals.

### **Goal 1: Maintain harvest of Sardines at ecologically sustainable levels**

This goal relates to the sustainability of the target stock. The operational objective of this management plan in relation to sustainability is:

- South Australian Sardine stocks are maintained at a sustainable stock status.

This objective aims to ensure that Sardine resources are harvested within sustainable limits as defined by the target reference point included in the Harvest Strategy at Section 9.

The main strategies for ensuring the sustainability of the resource include spatially discrete TACCs, limited entry, gear restrictions, and the Harvest Strategy for the fishery.

### **Goal 2: Optimum utilisation and equitable distribution**

This goal relates to the economic and social benefits derived from the fishery. Optimising the use of the fishery focuses on allowing for economic efficiency of the fishing operations. The objective of this management plan in relation to optimum utilisation is:

- An economically efficient fleet without compromising sustainability objectives.

The strategies to achieve this goal are focused on a Harvest Strategy that allows for flexible fishing operations within sustainable limits.

With regard to equitable distribution, this fishery is exclusively a commercial fishery by virtue of the limiting management arrangements. The objectives in this plan ensure access of the resource is in line with requirements under the Act. The operational objective related to resource access is:

- To provide access to the resource as per the PIRSA Allocation Policy: Allocation of Access to Fisheries Resources Between Fishing Sectors (PIRSA 2011) (hereafter referred to as the Allocation Policy).

### **Goal 3: Protect and conserve aquatic resources, habitats and ecosystems**

This goal relates to the management of the fishery using an EBFM approach.

To achieve this goal, this management plan's objectives are that:

- Fishery impacts on by-catch and by-product species are sustainable.
- Fishery impacts on TEPS are minimised.
- Fishery impacts on benthic habitat and associated species communities are sustainable.

Management strategies to achieve this goal include controls on fishing effort, spatial management of the fishery, and promoting activities that reduce impacts of fishing activity.

### **Goal 4: Cost effective and consultative co-management of the fishery**

The overall objectives of this goal are to ensure that stakeholders and government fisheries administration have involvement and share responsibility through consultative and, where possible, collaborative co-management<sup>1</sup> in the decision-making processes for developing and implementing management arrangements, and to ensure that management arrangements are complied with. The cost-effectiveness of these arrangements also needs to be considered in the development process as the management costs are recovered from fishers in accordance with the government's cost-recovery policy for fisheries (PIRSA 2013b).

The operational objectives of this management plan in relation to co-management, planning and cost-recovery are:

- Industry participation in management through co-operative arrangements.
- Management arrangements support cohesion between the fishing industry and wider community.
- Maximising stewardship of fisheries resources.
- Costs of management of the fishery are funded by relevant stakeholders.

---

<sup>1</sup>Consultative and collaborative co-management are two prescribed co-management levels in the *Policy for the Co-Management of Fisheries in South Australia*.

Table 2: Goals, objectives, strategies for the management of the sardine fishery.

| <b>Goal 1: Maintain ecologically sustainable sardine biomass.</b>   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| <b>Objective</b>  | <b>Strategy</b>  | <b>Addressing risk</b>   | <b>Performance indicator</b>   | <b>Description</b>   | <b>Reference Point</b>   |
| 1a Maintain sardine stocks above the target reference point by managing total catch across the fishery and preventing the depletion of large Sardines in Gulf Zones | <p>1a(i) Set a TACC for Sardine annually using framework set out in the Harvest Strategy</p> <p>1a(ii) Maintain entry and gear restrictions</p> <p>1a(iii) Monitor average size of harvested sardines during season</p>  | Australian Sardines - fishery impacts on the target species – effectiveness of management of Australian Sardines | <p>Spawning biomass from Sardine survey (or stock assessment if assessment is not completed)</p> <p>Mean size of Sardines</p>  | <p>Spawning biomass estimates of sardines is the primary indicator of stock abundance in the Sardine Fishery</p> <p>Mean size of Sardines is the indicator to monitor whether depletion of target size Sardines is occurring in the gulfs system</p> | <p>Trigger reference point – spawning stock biomass &gt;150,000 t</p> <p>Limit reference point – spawning stock biomass &gt; 75,000 t</p> <p>Reference point – mean size of harvested Sardines &gt; 135 mm for Spencer Gulf and the Gulf of St Vincent</p>   |
| 1b Ensure sufficient data are collected to undertake a stock assessment   | <p>1b(i) Collect fishery dependent data through commercial logbooks and CDRs</p> <p>1b(ii) Maintain independent monitoring programs to collect biological samples of Sardines for the development of size frequencies and age determination</p> <p>1b(iii) Undertake a fishery independent DEPM survey and stock assessment</p> <p>1b(iv) Review and update the strategic research and monitoring priorities regularly</p> <p>1b(v) Work with industry, where necessary, to develop an</p> | Australian Sardines - fishery impacts on the target species – research and development                           | <p>Numbers of logbooks and CDRs provided</p> <p>Stock assessment and/or a DEPM survey is completed</p> <p>Annual stock assessment or fishery assessment report published</p> | <p>All commercial fisheries provide spatial and temporal catch and effort data</p> <p>The appropriate population model assessment is used to determine the stock status</p>  | <p>Licence holders provide logbook records for each fishing trip</p> <p>CDRs are provided for each off-load of harvested Sardines</p> <p>Stock assessment survey completed to meet criteria for exploitation level set in the Harvest Strategy (i.e. annually or bi-annually)</p> <p>DEPM surveys completed to meet criteria for exploitation level set in the Harvest Strategy (i.e. annually or bi-annually)</p> |

|   |   |  |                         |  |  |
|---|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|
|   | industry led real-time size monitoring program  |  |                         |  | Annual stock assessment or fishery assessment report published<br><br>Sardine samples of at least 10% of net sets are provided from the GSV zone |
| 1c Limit and monitor Australian Anchovy catches | <p>1c(i) Set a TACC for Anchovy annually within sustainable limits</p> <p>1c(ii) Maintain entry and gear restrictions</p> <p>1c(iii) Monitor catch levels of harvested anchovy during season</p> <p>1c(iv) Adopt a precautionary principle to make decisions when robust information is lacking</p> | Australian Anchovy – fishery impacts on the target species – effectiveness of management | Catch levels of Anchovy | Monitor catches of Australian Anchovy against the TACC as an indicator that has been set for the species |  |



**Goal 2: Optimum utilisation and equitable distribution.**

| <b>Objective</b>   | <b>Strategy</b>  | <b>Addressing risk</b>  | <b>Performance indicator</b>   | <b>Description</b>  | <b>Reference Point</b>   |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| 2a Maximise value of the fishery to the community within ecologically sustainable limits | <p>2a(i) Undertake economic review on a regular basis</p> <p>2a(ii) Develop arrangements to improve the operational efficiency of the fishing fleet, while maximising flexibility (e.g., new fishing grounds and consideration of spatial arrangements if appropriate)</p> <p>2a(iii) Develop arrangements that allow for value-adding strategies, where possible</p> <p>2a(iv) Ensure fisheries information is available in a timely and publicly accessible manner</p> <p>2a(v) Develop options for the permanent transfer of quota within the fishery</p> | <p>Market – profit</p> <p>Market – employment</p> <p>Market – asset value</p> <p>Economic – market forces/demand</p>                | <p>Gross value of production (GVP)</p> <p>Gross operating surplus (GOS)</p> <p>Number of FTEs directly and indirectly employed</p> <p>Licence value</p> <p>PIRSA Website information on the sardine fishery is the most up-to-date available</p> | <p>GVP is the total catch valued at the landed beach price</p> <p>GOS provides an index of capacity of operator to remain in the fishery in the short to medium term</p> <p>Licence value is the estimated market value of the licence</p> <p>Information includes; management plan, stock assessment, status report, TEPS interaction reports and economics report</p> | <p>GVP monitored regularly</p> <p>GOS monitored regularly</p> <p>Licence value monitored regularly</p> <p>Economic indicators report conducted regularly</p> <p>Information on the PIRSA website is reviewed regularly</p> |
| 2b An economically efficient fleet   | <p>2b(i) Consider economic and financial impacts when implementing management arrangements</p> <p>2b(ii) Undertake economic review on a regular basis</p> <p>2b(iii) Where appropriate, and if possible, influence other processes that impact on infrastructure development</p>   | <p>Market – profit</p> <p>Market – employment</p> <p>Market – asset value</p> <p>Infrastructure and access to unload facilities</p> | <p>Gross value of production</p> <p>Gross operating surplus</p> <p>Licence value</p> <p>Economic indicators assessed in economic indicators report</p>   |   |  |

|  |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2c Ensure sufficient economic information is used to make informed management decisions                          | 2c(i) Undertake economic surveys to assess the economic performance of the fishery  | Research and data collection                                    | Economic indicators assessed in economic indicators report  | The economic indicators report provides detailed regional information on the economics of the fishery | The economic indicators report is published regularly |
| 2d Allocate access to the fishery resources and manage shares to optimise utilisation and equitable distribution | 2d(i) Allocate access to Sardine and Anchovy resource and commercial, recreational and Aboriginal traditional fishing sectors, in accordance with the Act<br><br>2d(ii) Monitor mortality levels from other sources | Legal framework – Allocation/Offshore Constitutional Settlement | Review of allocation between sectors undertaken periodically or when necessary<br><br>Total catch in the Sardine Fishery<br><br>Total by-catch of Australian Sardines by other fisheries (e.g., Commonwealth Small Pelagic Fishery) |   | Allocation reviewed when appropriate                  |

**Goal 3: Protect and conserve aquatic resources, habitats and ecosystems.**

| Objective   | Strategy  | Addressing risk  | Performance indicator  | Description  | Reference point  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| <p>3a Fishery impacts on bycatch species are monitored and arrangements used to limit fishery impact on the ecosystem</p> | <p>3a(i) Maintain Part 13 and 13A accreditation under the Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</p> <p>3a(ii) Maintain input arrangements that control effort and gear</p> <p>3a(iii) Monitor catches of by-catch species including development of systems for reporting</p> <p>3a(iv) Develop arrangements where necessary to minimise the fishery impacts on the ecosystem</p> <p>3a(v) Where appropriate, and if possible, influence other processes that impact on the application of the EPA (Environment Protection Authority) <i>Water Quality Policy</i></p> <p>3a(vi) Ensure fisheries information is available in a timely and publicly accessible manner</p> | <p>By-product and non-retained species</p> <p>Ecosystem effects</p> <p>Impacts on trophic structure</p> <p>Water quality – refrigerated seawater discharge</p> | <p>Develop base line information of bycatch rates</p> <p>PIRSA Website information on the Sardine Fishery is the most up-to-date available</p> <p>Establishment of bycatch reporting systems</p> | <p>Use the independent monitoring program to monitor net sets for by-catch</p> <p>Information on the website includes; management plan, stock assessment, status report, TEPS interaction reports and economics report</p> | <p>Information on the PIRSA website is reviewed regularly</p> <p>Established electronic logbook reporting system including defined bycatch species</p> |
| <p>3b Fishery impacts on TEPS are minimised</p>   | <p>3b(i) Consider fishery TEPS interaction information for</p>  | <p>Non-retained –TEPS</p> <p>Ecosystem effects</p>   | <p>Monitor whether the observer coverage is appropriately</p>  | <p>Ratio of TEPS interactions reported in wildlife interaction logbooks</p>  |  |

|  |  |                                     |   |   |  |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
|  | <p>setting the annual level of observer coverage</p> <p>3b(ii) Maintain a representative independent monitoring program to collect information and monitor TEPS interactions with industry consultation where appropriate</p> <p>3b(iii) Investigate, develop and improve arrangements, where possible, that assist avoidance of TEPS interactions including the trial of new technologies (including electronic monitoring)</p> <p>3b (iii) Accurately quantify the level of dolphin interactions in the fishery</p> <p>3b(iv) Monitor TEPS interactions through wildlife interaction logbooks</p> <p>3b(v) Maintain a Code of Practice in the industry</p> <p>3b(vi) Maintain TEPS working group</p> <p>3b(vii) Ensure fisheries information is available in a timely and publicly accessible manner</p> | <p>Impacts on trophic structure</p> | <p>representative of commercial operations</p> <p>Level of independent monitoring compared to target level</p> <p>The number of common dolphin mortalities each year</p> <p>Up-to-date industry TEPS CoP provided to skippers at the start of each season or induction of new skipper</p> <p>PIRSA Website information on the Sardine Fishery is the most up-to-date available</p> <p>Effectiveness of new technologies in mitigating and monitoring dolphin interactions</p> <p>Number of verified dolphin interactions in the fishery each year</p> | <p>compared to observer-reported interactions</p> <p>Number of reported dolphin interactions</p> <p>Information includes; management plan, stock assessment, status report and economics report</p> | <p>Increasing trends in independently monitored mortality rates over three year period<sup>2</sup></p> <p>Adherence with industry CoP and regulations</p> <p>Maintain meetings to monitor and discuss TEPS interactions</p> <p>Monitor level of actual observer coverage compared to target level.</p> <p>TEPS interaction reports made publicly available</p> <p>Management survey of the information on the website is undertaken each year</p> <p>Annual assessment of the code of practice and fishing behaviour made publicly available</p> |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|

<sup>2</sup> Any significant (>20%) increase in interaction and mortality rates between years will be investigated.

|   |   |                                 |  |  |   |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 3c Limit the fishery impacts on the trophic structure by maintaining stocks above the target reference point and preventing the depletion of large Sardines in Gulf Zones | <p>3c(i) Adopt a precautionary principle to make decisions when robust information is lacking</p> <p>3c(ii) Maintain a representative independent monitoring program to collect information and monitor TEPS interactions</p> <p>3c(iii) Demonstrate the fishery is not having negative impacts on trophic levels</p> | Impacts on trophic structure    | <p>Trophodynamic modelling undertaken every 5 years</p> <p>Website information on the Sardine Fishery is the most up-to-date available</p> | <p>Identification and monitoring of key trophic performance indicators in the fishery</p> <p>Information includes; management plan, stock assessment, status report and economics report</p> | <p>Outcomes of trophic modelling are reported and publicly available</p> <p>Management survey of the information on the website is undertaken each year</p> |
| 3d Manage diseases to minimise the impact on the resources  | 3d(i) Where appropriate, and if possible, influence and improve processes between agencies responsible for biosecurity and natural resource management  | Ecological impacts – biological |  |  |   |

**Goal 4: Cost effective and consultative co-management of the fishery.**

| Objective   | Strategy  | Addressing risk   | Performance indicator  | Description                    | Reference Point  |
|---|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 4a Provide cost-effective and efficient management of the fishery, in line with government's cost recovery policy | <p>4a(i) Develop management arrangements that are effective at achieving management objectives and optimising cost effectiveness</p> <p>4a(ii) Determine the real cost of management, compliance tasks, research and compliance for the fishery on an annual basis</p> <p>4a(iii) Recover licence fees from commercial licence holders, sufficient to cover</p> | <p>Profit</p> <p>Asset value</p> <p>Primary species – effectiveness of management</p> | Key economic indicators: licence fees as a percentage of gross value of production, gross operating surplus, licence value | The economic indicators report | Available economic information is monitored and reported |

|   |  |  |   |   |   |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
|   | <p>the attributed costs of fisheries management, research and compliance of the commercial fishery in accordance with the government's cost recovery policy</p> <p>4a(iv) Monitor and, if possible, develop a longer-term cost recovery agreement</p> <p>4a(v) Review the management framework in line with the results from the rules review project</p> <p>4a(vi) Monitor licence fees as a percentage of GVP</p>                  |  |   |   |   |
| <p>4b Support industry body to participate and function within the co-management framework of the fishery</p> | <p>4b(i) Promote stakeholder input and industry ownership of the fishery, through consultative co-management processes and communication strategies</p> <p>4b(ii) Demonstrate development of collaborative co-management arrangements</p> <p>4b(iii) Ensure fisheries information is available in a timely manner and is publicly accessible</p> <p>4b(iv) Attend industry quarterly meetings and promote stakeholder attendance</p> | <p>Primary species – effectiveness of management</p> | <p>Stakeholders participate in meetings as required</p> <p>PIRSA Website information on the Sardine Fishery is the most up-to-date available</p> <p>Frameworks which demonstrate co-management arrangements</p> | <p>Quarterly research and management committee and TEPS working group meetings</p> <p>Information includes; management plan, stock assessment, status report, TEPS interaction reports and economics report</p> | <p>All relevant stakeholders are represented at quarterly meetings</p> <p>Information on the PIRSA website is reviewed regularly</p> <p>Co-management structures in the fishery</p> |

|  |  |   |  |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| <p>4c Effective compliance program for the fishery</p> | <p>4c(i) Undertake annual compliance risk assessment and address those risks</p> <p>4c(ii) Review existing reporting and monitoring arrangements</p> <p>4c(iii) Develop and implement management arrangements that are clear and uncomplicated to promote voluntary compliance and assist with successful enforcement</p> <p>4c(iv) Where possible, develop and implement licensing, compliance and monitoring arrangements that are consistent with other fisheries to reduce administrative costs</p> <p>4c(v) Encourage the community and industry to report fisheries offences to 'Fishwatch'</p> <p>4c(vi) Develop formal relationships between industry and Fisheries Officers to improve effective compliance</p> | <p>Primary species – management effectiveness</p> | <p>Quota use reported throughout the season</p> <p>Where necessary, Fisheries Officers to participate in industry meetings</p> <p>Number of prosecutions related to the Sardine Fishery</p> <p>Compliance risk assessment undertaken</p> | <p>Quota use is monitored by PIRSA through CDR submissions</p> <p>Where necessary, fisheries officers participate in quarterly meetings</p> | <p>Quota use reported throughout the season</p> <p>Established electronic reporting system</p> <p>Where necessary, fisheries officers to participate in industry meetings</p> <p>Number of prosecutions over three years does not increase significantly</p> <p>Compliance risk assessment undertaken annually</p> |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|

## 7 Co-management arrangements

Co-management is an arrangement whereby responsibilities and obligations for sustainable fisheries management are negotiated, shared and delegated at appropriate levels between government, the commercial fishing industry, recreational fishers, Aboriginal traditional fishers, and other key stakeholders such as conservation groups (Neville 2008). Co-management is recognised as comprising various levels – starting from centralised government regulation with no industry input at one end to more autonomous management by industry groups and key stakeholders at the other, where government plays more of an audit role. It is designed to achieve efficient regulatory practice (among many other things) and is by no means a way of industry or other key stakeholders avoiding regulatory scrutiny and influence.

PIRSA has adopted a *Policy for the Co-Management of Fisheries in South Australia* (PIRSA 2013b) (hereafter referred to as the Policy for the Co-management of Fisheries) to inform discussion with the wider commercial fishing industry and other stakeholder groups as to how best to promote and implement co-management arrangements. The policy proposes that implementation of a preferred co-management model should be through a phased approach that allows industry and key stakeholders to build their capacity over time and a government audit process to measure performance and success.

SASIA has been recognised by PIRSA as the peak representative industry body for the commercial Sardine Fishery. Consultative co-management arrangements have been established in the Sardine Fishery, where PIRSA administers fisheries legislation and makes decisions on fisheries management through consultative processes with fishers and other key stakeholders. Fisheries management decisions are discussed and debated through SASIA.

SASIA holds regular meetings with PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture and SARDI Aquatic Sciences to discuss research and management issues in the fishery. SASIA has established the TEPS Working Group to provide advice on management of the industry's COP and the Independent Observer Program. Membership of the working group includes Sardine Fishery licence holders, PIRSA, SARDI and the Department for Environment and Water.

Opportunities to improve the co-management arrangements for the Sardine Fishery will continue to be pursued in accordance with the principles provided in the Policy for the Co-management of Fisheries.



## 8 Allocation of access between sectors

### 8.1 Current allocated shares of the resource

The Act provides that a management plan must specify the share of the fishery to be allocated to each fishing sector under the plan (43(2)(h)).

The Act also provides that, in determining the share of aquatic resources to be allocated to a particular fishing sector under the first management plan for an existing fishery, the share of aquatic resources to which that fishing sector had access at the time the Minister requested preparation of the plan (based on the most recent information available to the Minister) must be taken into account (43(3)).

The Minister formally requested preparation of the first management plan under the Act being the 2014 management plan on 17 June 2010 taking into account the share of the sardine fishery that the commercial fishing sector had access to on that date. Allocations for the recreational and Aboriginal traditional fishing sectors must be determined at the same time.

Sardine and Anchovy Total Allowable Commercial Catch (TACC) are allocated 100% to the commercial sector, reflecting the shares of the resource between the three sectors at the time the Minister requested the preparation of the 2014 plan. The most recent recreational fishing survey at that time, being the 2007/08 survey (Jones 2009) reported the recreational sector does not take sardines or anchovies.

The Offshore Constitutional Settlement agreement between South Australia and the Commonwealth of Australia sets out that Sardines are managed by South Australia. Any allocation discussed in this management plan refers to South Australian fishing sectors only.

There is little documented information on the take of Sardines or Anchovy by the Aboriginal traditional fishing sector and there have been no claims relating to Sardines and Anchovies in the Native Title claims that relate to South Australia.

It should be noted that this allocation of resource shares does not prohibit the recreational or Aboriginal traditional take of these species. In the future, if either of the non-commercial sectors increases their take of Australian Sardine or Australian Anchovy, the allocation of shares may be reviewed, as set out in Section 8.4.

The shares allocated to each sector in relation to the Sardine Fishery are set out in Table 3.

*Table 3: Shares of sardine fishery allocated to each fishing sector*

| Species             | Commercial % | Recreational % | Aboriginal traditional % |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Australian Sardines | 100.0        | 0.0            | 0.0                      |
| Australian Anchovy  | 100.0        | 0.0            | 0.0                      |

## 8.2 Information for adjusting allocations of access in future

Catch data used to allocate shares has been collected through commercial logbooks submitted in accordance with the regulations. Recreational data is set out in the report of the Recreational Fishing Survey 2007-08 (Jones 2009).

Access to South Australia's fisheries resources by Aboriginal communities under the Act may be provided through Aboriginal traditional fishing management plans. These plans may be developed when an ILUA, agreed to resolve a Native Title claim, is in place in relation to a Native Title claim area. The State is currently engaged in ILUA negotiations with Native Title claimants and other stakeholder groups, including the fishing industry. The agreements arising from these negotiation processes may inform the way that access to fisheries resources by Aboriginal communities is defined and implemented. Currently, Aboriginal traditional fishing under the Act only relates to fishing agreed through the ILUA process. Aboriginal people are also recreational fishers outside of these arrangements.

## 8.3 Allocation triggers

An important component of the allocation of shares is monitoring to identify a change in the relative value of those shares over time. The value of shares can be measured in a variety of ways, including catch and effort, economic value and social values.

Measuring the social values of a fishery is an evolving area of natural resource management and there are no clearly identifiable indicators of social value that can be readily incorporated into an assessment of share value at this point in time. For these reasons, catch will be used as the indicator to measure changes in the value of shares (catch has been used to determine the first shares in this management plan). Catch estimates are available annually for all commercial fisheries. Estimates of recreational catches will be available at a minimum of once every five years from recreational fishing surveys.

Due to Sardines being 100% allocated to the commercial sector, there are no allocation triggers described in this management plan. Allocation triggers are usually set at a level commensurate with the initial allocation, allowing for variability in catches. Where a sector has been allocated over 91%, no trigger limit has been set as any shares greater than this amount are considered to be within normal fluctuation ranges.

## 8.4 Review of allocations of access in future

Allocation of access to Australian Sardine and Australian Anchovy stocks may be reviewed in accordance with PIRSA's Allocation Policy under the following scenarios and may be considered during a review of allocations;

- A review of the management plan, which will reassess the appropriateness of shares and may trigger an adjustment.
- One or more sectors exceed their allocation of Australian Sardine or Australian Anchovy.

- A major change in the management of a species and/or a sector that results in a shift of allocations to a sector(s).

The declaration of a Marine Protected Area that would result in a reallocation of shares may be given effect through the *Marine Parks Act 2007* and policies applying under that Act. The *Marine Parks Act 2007* requires the Government to pay fair and reasonable compensation to commercial fishers whose statutory rights are affected by marine park zoning.

#### 8.4.1 Initial assessment

An initial assessment of allocations may be conducted by PIRSA in consultation with the relevant sectors of the fishery. Once the need for a review has been recognised, an assessment committee will be established. The committee may be required to assess the need for a second-stage assessment based on consideration of the following questions:

- Whether there has been a shift in the access value of the fishery or there is new information available that suggests a reallocation of shares would bring additional social and economic benefits to the State.
- A trigger limit<sup>3</sup> has been breached, and whether that breach can be readily explained and justified.
- Whether the potential change in shares is significant and considered long-term as a minor shift/anomaly may not require a full review.

A written report will be prepared by the committee to PIRSA, with a recommendation to proceed to a full evaluation or not. PIRSA will determine whether to move to a full evaluation or may refer a recommendation to the Minister.

#### 8.4.2 Full assessment

As with the initial assessment, a full assessment of allocation may be conducted by PIRSA in consultation with relevant stakeholders. An evaluation panel may be established with membership including independent experts as required.

The evaluation panel must evaluate how the value of one or more sectors is changing and the likely trends in the future. In the context of these changes, all options being considered should be evaluated against the option of maintaining the status quo and the potential flow-on effects with regard to:

- contribution to gross state product
- contribution to employment
- access to fresh seafood for consumers
- maintenance, growth and wellbeing of regional communities

---

<sup>3</sup>Trigger limits are not described in this management plan as the resources are 100% allocated to a sector. Recreational triggers will be described within their respective management plans.

- health impacts
- sport and recreation opportunities
- consistency with tourism policies
- other criteria relevant to the fishery

#### **8.4.3 Assessment outcomes**

Following the full assessment, the Allocation Policy provides that the review panel may recommend to the Minister one of two actions, to:

1. Manage each sector within the existing allocated shares
2. Proceed to adjust shares

#### **8.4.4 Managing within existing shares**

If shares are to be maintained it may be necessary, depending on the circumstances, to alter the catch of one or more sectors to maintain the existing shares between all sectors. To determine the appropriate mechanism to re-establish initial allocations, the existing co-management arrangements will be used to develop a preferred option. Adjusting commercial shares may be achieved through quota units for Sardines and a variety of controls such as seasonal and temporal closures, gear restrictions, and or catch limits. Recreational adjustments are likely to be made through alterations to existing bag and boat limits, seasonal closures and size limits.

#### **8.4.5 Adjusting allocations of shares**

Any future adjustments to the shares will be consistent with the requirements of the Fisheries Management Act 2007. Adjustment may, in the first instance, be implemented through a voluntary process and through direct negotiations between the relevant sectors. Where possible, market mechanisms will be used to adjust shares. Where there are no or limited market mechanisms available, adjustments to shares will be made in a similar manner used to manage shares within existing allocations.

If a voluntary adjustment scheme is not able to be implemented in the fishery, a second voluntary option/step may be considered, including an incentive-based scheme for share adjustment.

A process of compulsory acquisition may occur (through regulations) if necessary. Any compulsory acquisition of entitlements would include compensation to the commercial sector in accordance with the provisions of the Allocation Policy. Adjustments are to be finalised within two years.

## 9 Harvest Strategy

### 9.1 Introduction

This harvest strategy includes a set of decision rules that provide for fixed exploitation rates to allow for removal of a fixed fraction of the stock during a set period (normally annually).

Consistent with the *National Guidelines to Develop Fishery Harvest Strategies* (Sloan et al. 2014), the Sardine Harvest Strategy is defined as a framework that sets out the management actions necessary, using fixed exploitation rates and maintaining biomass above agreed levels, to control the exploitation of Sardines in order to achieve the ecological, economic and social objectives of the Sardine fishery.

### 9.2 Objectives

The Sardine Harvest Strategy aims to achieve the following objectives, to:

- Maintain the Sardine spawning biomass at a sustainable stock status, as defined in section 9.6.
- Optimise economic returns within these sustainability imperatives.
- Provide greater certainty and stability for industry stakeholders.
- Establish a simple and practical guide for fishery managers, researchers and industry stakeholders.
- Ensure cost-effectiveness of management.

The Sardine Harvest Strategy is designed to support the ecologically sustainable management of the Sardine fishing industry. The framework allows for harvesting of Sardines at ecologically sustainable levels through TACCs that are set based on catching a conservative percentage (exploitation rate) of the estimated SpB. The exploitation rate can vary depending on the level of monitoring and the assessment that is undertaken (see the decision rules at section 9.8). As with the Commonwealth Small Pelagic Fishery<sup>4</sup>, the three-tiered approach of the Sardine Harvest Strategy aims to achieve a balance between risk associated with various levels of exploitation (TACCs) with the information provided by the monitoring and assessment program. It is recognised that the costs for monitoring and assessment increase as the level of exploitation moves up from Tier 3 to Tier 1.

Key design principles of the Sardine Harvest Strategy include:

- Exploitation limits that do not exceed 33%, which recent ecosystem and population modelling assessment of Australian Sardine Fishery suggests is a

---

<sup>4</sup> [https://www.afma.gov.au/sites/default/files/uploads/2017/04/SPF-Harvest-Strategy\\_April-2017\\_FINAL.pdf](https://www.afma.gov.au/sites/default/files/uploads/2017/04/SPF-Harvest-Strategy_April-2017_FINAL.pdf)

conservative approach that takes into account the ecological importance of Sardines (Smith et al. 2015).

- Stock status is explicitly linked with SpB estimates.

### 9.3 Species

The Sardine Harvest Strategy only applies to the primary target species, Australian Sardine (*S. sagax*). Although the Australian Anchovy (*E. australis*) is also targeted in the Sardine Fishery, the level of exploitation is considered to be set at a precautionary catch level. Should expansion of Anchovy fishing operations occur in the future, there is the potential to develop a Harvest Strategy for Anchovy fishing that is conceptually similar to the Sardine Harvest Strategy, with appropriate levels of research.

### 9.4 Assessment method

The primary biological PI for the Sardine Harvest Strategy is calculation of the SpB using the daily egg production method (DEPM) (Lasker 1985). The DEPM is recognised internationally as a practical and cost-effective method for estimating the SpB of small pelagic fishes (Stratoudakis et al. 2006, Ward et al. 2011a, Ward et al 2021). Using the most recent SpB value, the recommended TACC for the Sardine Fishery is determined as a proportion of the SpB (exploitation rate) within sustainable limits.

Although SpB estimates derived from the DEPM are considered accurate, they can be relatively imprecise, mainly due to uncertainties associated with estimation of total daily egg production (Fletcher et al. 1996, McGarvey and Kinloch 2001, Ward et al. 2001a, Gaughan et al. 2004, Stratoudakis et al. 2006). Imprecision of the DEPM was partly addressed in the previous Harvest Strategy by establishing a conservative TACC in conjunction with the tiered framework.

The DEPM applied to Sardine off South Australia was reviewed by Ward et al. (2021). The outcomes of this review were adopted to improve the precision of SpB estimates since the 2020 DEPM survey.

Supporting the DEPM assessment is a Sardine Fishery stock assessment, which synthesises updated information relevant to the Sardine Fishery, assesses the status of the stock, and comments on the management arrangements from a biological perspective. An age-structured population model is also used to inform the status of the stock by producing SpB estimates, but uncertainty regarding natural mortality rates, recruitment variability and biases in the age samples mean the DEPM survey is preferred (Ward et al. 2005) as the primary indicator. In 2019, an integrated stock assessment model, 'SardEst', was developed specifically for the Sardine Fishery (Ward et al. 2019). In years when there is no DEPM survey completed, the 'SardEst' model is used to inform the status of the stock.

## 9.5 Previous strategies

There are three previous harvest strategies for the Sardine Fishery. The first harvest strategy contained in the 2005 management plan for the fishery (Shanks 2005), the second harvest strategy implemented in 2007 as an addendum to the 2005 management plan and the third included in the 2014 management plan (PIRSA 2014).

The first harvest strategy maintained the harvest of Sardines within exploitation rate limits of 10 per cent to 17.5 per cent. The actual TACC recommendation was dependent on the magnitude of the SpB (where stepwise increases in exploitation rate were possible with increasing SpB) and the proportion of 2- and 3-year-old age classes in the population (>40% indicated high levels of egg production, thus allowing the TACC to be recommended at a slightly higher exploitation rate than if the proportion was  $\leq 40\%$ ).

The second harvest strategy established that a precautionary baseline TACC of 30,000 t be maintained, while the latest SpB estimate remained between 150,000 and 300,000 t, corresponding to exploitation rates of 20 per cent and 10 per cent respectively. The basis for this addendum to the first harvest strategy was to provide industry with greater certainty and stability, and thereby allow fishing operations and the supply of Sardines (mainly as feed for the Southern Bluefin Tuna aquaculture sector) to be planned with confidence.

The third Harvest Strategy, adopted the same approach as the second in maintaining a baseline TACC within a target range of SpB estimates. However, built upon the previous harvest strategy with the introduction of two new features of a tiered framework and rules for spatial management of the catch.

This fourth Harvest Strategy for the Sardine Fishery provides for:

- Increased exploitation rates at each of the three tiers to reflect the higher certainty in SpB estimates provided through the refined DEPM surveys
- Increased Target Reference Point to 200,000 t
- TACC levels based on linear relationship with SpB above the upper trigger reference point (UTrRP)
- Spatial management for three fishing zones, Spencer Gulf Zone (SGZ), Gulf St Vincent Zone (GSVZ) and Outside Zone (OZ). In the term of this management plan legislative amendments will be sought to formalise the amended spatial management zones.

## 9.6 Performance Indicators

### 9.6.1 Primary Performance indicator and reference points

SpB is the primary biological Performance Indicator (PI) for the Sardine Fishery derived from either the most recent DEPM survey or outputs from the integrated stock

assessment model, 'SardEst' as described in Grammer et al (2021). The reference points are described in Table 4.

*Table 4: Reference Points for Spawning Biomass (SpB)*

| Reference Point | SpB       |
|-----------------|-----------|
| Limit           | 75,000 t  |
| Trigger         | 150,000 t |
| Target          | 200,000 t |

The Limit Reference Point of 75,000 t has been selected because historically the stock has been shown to be stable above this level and quickly recovered from below this biomass level following two mortality events that reduced biomass to 68,175 t in 1999 (Ward et al. 2012). Secondly in consideration of ecosystem impacts, impacts would be relatively minor on ecologically dependant species (such as marine mammals and seabirds) at this level (Goldsworthy et al. 2013). The Trigger Reference Point is set at twice the level of the LRP.

Ecosystem and population modelling assessment of Australian Sardine fisheries suggest that 33% exploitation rate is a conservative level that takes into account the ecological importance of Sardines (Smith et al. 2015). This harvest strategy has a maximum exploitation rate of 27.5% and is precautionary when compared to the national standard.

Other data and performance measures may be used to assess the fishery but do not trigger explicit decisions under the harvest strategy. Information includes (but is not limited to):

- Spawning area
- Length frequency distributions of Sardine from fishing zones
- Distribution and abundance of Sardine eggs collected during surveys.

### 9.6.2 Stock Status

The classification of stock status is explicitly linked to reference points adopted from SpB as described in Table 5.



Table 5: Stock status for the Sardine Fishery

| SpB                              | Stock Status  |
|----------------------------------|---|
| $\geq 150,000$ t                 | <b>Sustainable</b>  |
| $< 150,000$ t to $\geq 75,000$ t | <p><b>Sustainable or depleting</b></p> <p>When primary performance indicators are below the Trigger Reference Point, stock status will be interpreted in consideration of the trajectory of the performance indicators (primary and other if available) identified for the fishery. If a majority of performance indicators are declining, the fishery will be classified as <b>depleting</b>, or where a majority of performance indicators are stable or increasing the fishery will be classified as <b>Sustainable</b>.</p> |
| $< 75,000$ t                     | <p><b>Depleted or recovering</b></p> <p>When primary performance indicators are below the Limit Reference Point, stock status will be interpreted in consideration of the trajectory of the performance indicators (primary and other if available) identified for the fishery. If a majority of performance indicators are declining or stable the fishery will be classified as <b>depleted</b>, or where a majority of performance indicators are increasing the fishery will be classified as <b>recovering</b>.</p>        |

The stock status used in the harvest strategy as based on the terminology in the national reporting framework for stock classification described in Pidcocke et al. (2021) ([www.fish.gov.au](http://www.fish.gov.au)) is summarised in Table 6.

Table 6: Descriptions of stock status categories from Pidcocke et al. (2021)

| Stock status category | Description  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Sustainable           | Biomass (or proxy) is at a level sufficient to ensure that, on average, future levels of recruitment are adequate (recruitment is not impaired) and for which fishing mortality (or proxy) is adequately controlled to avoid the stock becoming recruitment impaired (overfishing is not occurring). |
| Depleting             | Biomass (or proxy) is not yet depleted and recruitment is not yet impaired, but fishing mortality (or proxy) is too high (overfishing is occurring) and moving the stock in the direction of becoming recruitment impaired.  |
| Recovering            | Biomass (or proxy) is depleted and recruitment is impaired, but management measures are in place to promote stock recovery, and recovery is occurring.   |

|          |   |
|----------|---|
| Depleted | Biomass (or proxy) has been reduced through catch and/or non-fishing effects, such that recruitment is impaired. Current management is not adequate to recover the stock, or adequate management measures have been put in place but have not yet resulted in measurable improvements |
|----------|---|

---

## 9.7 Spatial management

Explicit spatial management arrangements were adopted in the 2014 Harvest Strategy (PIRSA 2014) to protect stocks of Sardines in southern Spencer Gulf from depletion of target-sized fish and adverse impacts on the ecosystem. That Harvest Strategy defined two zones in the Sardine Fishery – the Gulfs Zone (GZ) and the Outside Zone (OZ) (see Figure 2).

The harvest strategy in this management plan describes the general area of the three zones as follows:

- **Spencer Gulf Zone (SGZ)** encompasses waters adjacent to South Australia, east of the meridian of longitude 135°37'30"E (extending south from near Cape Carnot, Eyre Peninsula) and north of latitude 35°52'00"S (extending to Kangaroo Island, in the vicinity of Vennachar Point) Then west of the meridian of longitude 137°10'E.
- **Gulf St Vincent Zone (GSVZ)** encompasses waters adjacent to South Australia, east of the meridian of longitude 137°10'E and north of latitude 35°52'00"S (extending to Kangaroo Island, in the vicinity of Vennachar Point). Then north of a line between Penneshaw, Kangaroo Island and Cape Jervis, Fleurieu Peninsula. At the time of developing this management plan, a northern boundary for the GSV zone was managed through licence condition.
- **Outside Zone (OZ)** covers the waters adjacent to South Australia, excluding the SGZ and GSVZ.

Under this spatial management framework, no more than 30,000 t of Sardines will be taken from the SGZ in any single quota period (fishing season) to protect stocks of Sardines in southern Spencer Gulf from depletion of target-sized fish and adverse impacts on the ecosystem. Furthermore, where there is evidence of reductions in the mean size of fish taken from the SGZ, the maximum catch will be reduced to 27,000 t or 24,000 t depending on the mean size of fish taken in that zone in the previous quota period as described in section 9.8.3. The biological data considered in setting these levels of catch at size is described in the 2014 Management Plan (PIRSA 2014).

In the GSVZ, no more than more than 6,000 t of Sardines will be taken in any single quota period (fishing season), with that level being reduced to 4,000 t or 2,000 t depending on the mean size of fish taken in that zone in the previous quota period as described in section 9.8.3. As this is the first harvest strategy setting a limit on Sardine harvest in the GSVZ, the levels of catch are considered to be conservative and based on levels set for the fishery during the trial of the GSVZ between 2020-2021.




Figure 2: The three spatial management zones for the Sardine Harvest Strategy.

Abbreviations: OZ, Outside Zone; GZ, Gulfs Zone.

## 9.8 Decision rules

### 9.8.1 Harvest Strategy Tiers

Under this harvest strategy, the monitoring requirements for each tier level are as follows:

- Tier 3: biennial stock assessment and biennial DEPM survey (alternating each year) OR biennial DEPM survey and biennial stock assessment or ecosystem assessment (that is, a stock assessment will be undertaken every four years with an ecosystem assessment conducted every four years alternating with stock assessment survey).
- Tier 2: biennial stock assessment and an annual DEPM survey with an ecosystem assessment conducted every four years.
- Tier 1: annual stock assessment and annual DEPM survey with an ecosystem assessment conducted every four years alternating with stock assessment survey to replace the stock assessment survey or DEPM survey in that year.

For the purpose of the first implementation of this Harvest Strategy, the Sardine Fishery is currently considered to be at Tier 3.

### 9.8.2 TACC decision rules

Once the SpB estimate has been calculated the corresponding harvest decision rules that guide the appropriate levels of exploitation (and therefore the TACC) across the three tiers can be considered as provided in Figure 3: The relationship between spawning biomass, stock status and level of exploitation (or TACC) of the Sardine Harvest Strategy for each tier

Figure 3: The relationship between spawning biomass, stock status and level of exploitation (or TACC) of the Sardine Harvest Strategy for each tier




Table 7: Decision table for the Sardine Harvest Strategy to guide levels of exploitation and TACC.

| Reference Range | SpB (t)    | % B <sup>0</sup> | Tier 1   |        | Tier 2   |        | Tier 3   |        |
|-----------------|------------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
|                 |            |                  | TACC (t) | Max ER | TACC (t) | Max ER | TACC (t) | Max ER |
| Upper Target    | ≥200,000   | >67%             | 55,000   | 27.5%  | 50,000   | 25%    | 45,000   | 22.5%  |
| Lower Target    | <200,000to | 50-              | 41,250-  | 27.5%  | 37,500-  | 25%    | 33,750-  | 22.5%  |
|                 | ≥150,000   | 67%              | 55,000   |        | 50,000   |        | 45,000   |        |
| Upper Trigger   | <150,000to | 33-              | 22,500-  | 22.5%  | 20,000-  | 20%    | 17,500-  | 17.5%  |
|                 | ≥100,000   | 50%              | 33,750   |        | 30,000   |        | 26,250   |        |
| Lower Trigger   | <100,000   | 25-              | 13,125-  | 17.5%  | 11,250-  | 15%    | 9,375 –  | 12.5%  |
|                 | to ≥75,000 | 33%              | 17,500   |        | 15,000   |        | 12,500   |        |
| Limit           | <75,000    | <25%             | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0      |

### 9.8.3 Spatial management decision rules

Once the TACC has been determined using the harvest decision rules, the maximum catch from the SGZ and GSVZ will be determined on the basis of the mean size of the catch in each zone reported by SARDI for the period up to 30 June in the most recent year available. These decision rules have been implemented to prevent the depletion of target size fish and maximise catches of optimal-sized Sardines from the SGZ and GSVZ.

Samples of the commercial catch are collected by independent observers present on approximately 10% of fishing trips in the Sardine Fishery. Samples are sent to SARDI Aquatic Sciences for processing. Fish are measured (mm FL) and catch weighted mean size of Sardines (MSS) per zone are reported to industry.

Mean sizes and total catch limits are set out in the catch limit decision (Table 8, but in the calculation of mean sizes, certain data requirements must be met (as agreed to by the SASIA Research and Management Committee).

Table 8: Spatial management decision rules to guide the maximum catch allowed from the SGZ and GSVZ.

| Mean size of Sardines (MSS) | Maximum catch limits |         |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------|
|                             | SGZ                  | GSVZ    |
| >142 mm                     | 30,000 t             | 6,000 t |
| >135 mm to ≤ 142 mm         | 27,000 t             | 4,000 t |
| ≤ 135 mm                    | 24,000 t             | 2,000 t |

If the most recent SpB is below the TrRP (150,000 t), the SASIA Research and Management Committee will assess the most recent information regarding Sardine egg distribution and size of fish to make a recommendation about the allocation of catch in the SGZ and GSVZ.

If SpB estimate of >250,000 t, a reduction in allocation to the relevant zone would not be required in the first year that MSS was  $\geq 135$  mm but <142 mm in either SGZ or GSVZ. This rule does not apply if the MSS in a fishing zone fell below 135 mm.

## 9.9 Meta-rules

The following meta-rules apply for the Sardine Harvest Strategy:

- 1 Where a recommended increase in TACC requires moving up to a higher tier, a stepwise increase can only occur one tier at a time; i.e., stepping up from Tier 3 to Tier 2 and from Tier 2 to Tier 1 is permitted, but not from Tier 3 to Tier 1. There are no restrictions when moving down to a lower tier.
- 2 Where a recommendation to move up a tier level has occurred under this Harvest Strategy, the TACC recommendation can be based on that corresponding tier level.
- 3 In moving from Tier 3 to Tier 2, the industry commits to a minimum of three DEPM surveys over three years to closely monitor any changes in SpB after changing the maximum exploitation rate. Stock assessments will be undertaken biennially at this tier level.
- 4 In moving from Tier 2 to Tier 1, the industry must commit to a minimum of three stock assessments in three years; and during this time DEPM surveys will be undertaken annually.
- 5 If there is clear scientific evidence that the primary biological PI (estimate of SpB) used in the harvest decision rule (Section 9.6.1) is underestimated in any one year (due to logistical problems with the completion of the survey, the lack of sampled survey stations, problems with the analysis of survey samples or environmental anomalies), the minimum SpB for each exploitation level (and TACC) may be up to 10% lower. This rule may only be invoked when there is suitable scientific justification and there is support from industry, scientists and management..

## 9.10 Decision-making process

The most recent SpB estimate (and other biological information) will be provided by SARDI to SASIA's Research and Management Committee at a TACC meeting scheduled to occur around October each year.

The Research and Management Committee will consider the presented information and apply the available information to the Sardine Harvest Strategy decision rules set out at the TACC meeting.

The Research and Management Committee will provide a recommendation to PIRSA on TACC levels for the Sardine Fishery, and allocations of that TACC for harvest within the SGZ and GSVZ. This recommendation should be provided to PIRSA as soon as practicable after the TACC meeting.

Recommendations provided to PIRSA that are inconsistent with the decision rules outlined in this Harvest Strategy should be clearly justified including all information considered in making that recommendation.

Upon receiving the Research and Management Committee's recommendation and advice, the Minister or his/her delegate will consider this advice before making a formal TACC determination. Licence holders and the Executive Officer of SASIA will be notified of the TACC determination as soon as possible before the start of the quota period commencing 1 January.

## 9.11 Examples

### Example 1 (Tier 3)

A SpB estimate was available from DEPM survey conducted in 2020 and a stock status survey was published in 2021. The fishery is considered to be monitored at the Tier 3 level (biennial stock assessment and biennial DEPM survey alternating each year).

If the Sardine SpB was determined at 189,000 t, the fishery status would be 'Sustainable'. Under these circumstances, the TACC would be calculated using a maximum exploitation rate of 22.5% under Tier 2 and can be set at up to 45,000 t.

If the mean size of Sardines was calculated at 146 mm in both zones, the maximum catch that could be taken from the SGZ would be 30,000 t and 6,000 t in the GSVZ. The remaining TACC would be allocated to harvest in the Outside Zone.

### Example 2 (Tier 2)

A SpB estimate was available from DEPM survey conducted in 2020 and in 2021, and a stock status survey was published in 2021. The fishery is considered to be monitored at the Tier 2 level (biennial stock assessment and annual DEPM survey).

If the Sardine SpB was determined at 96,000 t, the fishery status would be classified as depleting or sustainable depending on PIs either declining or increasing. Under these circumstances, the TACC would be calculated using a maximum exploitation rate of 15% under Tier 2 and a TACC set between 11,250 t and 15,000 t.

If the mean size of Sardines was calculated at 137 mm in both zones, the maximum catch that could be taken from the SGZ would be 27,000 t and 4,000 t in GSVZ. The remainder of the TACC to be taken from the OZ.

### Example 3 (Meta Rule 3)

If a SpB estimate was available from DEPM surveys conducted in 2020 and a stock status survey was published in 2019 and 2021, the fishery would be considered to be monitored at the Tier 3 level (biennial stock assessments and DEPM surveys).

If the Sardine SpB was determined at 153,000 t, a TACC could be calculated using a maximum exploitation rate of 25% at the Tier 2 between 37,500 t and 50,000 t under Meta Rule 3 with industry committing to annual DEPM surveys for not less than three years and biennial stock assessments.

## 9.12 Review

Following implementation of this Harvest Strategy, PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture in partnership with SASIA's Research and Management Committee will monitor its performance annually. Should new information become available, a review of the harvest strategy may be considered under section 49(1) of the Act. A comprehensive review of the harvest strategy will be undertaken as soon as practicable after the fifth anniversary of the commencement of this management plan under section 49(2) of the Act.

# 10 Stock assessment and research

## 10.1 Research services

PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture contracts research services for each fishery. SARDI Aquatic Sciences is currently the primary research provider for core research for the Sardine Fishery. Costs of the annual research program for the fishery are recovered through licence fees.

External funding sources, such as the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (FRDC), and universities also provide funding for specific research projects. The fishery contributes to the FRDC through an annual levy base, also collected through licence fees.

## 10.2 Data collection and analysis

To achieve the research and monitoring needs for the fishery, a variety of data are collected and analysed. These come from two main sources – fishery independent data and fishery dependent data.

### 10.2.1 Fishery independent data

Fishery independent data are collected through two processes – DEPM surveys and the Independent Observer Program.

The DEPM survey is the main data source for the stock assessment and has been used to estimate the SpB of Sardines in South Australia since 1995. The central tenet of the DEPM is that SpB can be calculated by dividing the mean number of pelagic eggs produced per day throughout the spawning area (that is, total daily egg production) by the mean number of eggs produced per unit mass of adult fish (Ward et al. 2009). Currently the survey is conducted biennially however the Harvest Strategy allows for the survey to be conducted annually, which could increase the available TACC depending on stock assessment results.

The observer program was implemented in November 2004 to monitor TEPS interactions. Initially run by SARDI Aquatic Sciences, the program was taken over by independent contractors in 2006.



### 10.2.2 Fishery dependent data

Fishery dependent data consists of commercial catch and effort logbooks data, wildlife interaction logbook data, and industry biological sampling.

Fishers are required to complete fishery logbooks that document the date, location and timing of each net-set, the weight of each catch, and details of interactions with TEPS. Since 2007, fishers have also been required to complete wildlife interaction forms, which are validated, stored and collated by SARDI Aquatic Sciences (as seen in Tsolos and Boyle 2013).

In late October 2011, SASIA initiated a data collection and monitoring program to collect and collate real-time TEPS interaction information, and (in January 2012) to collect Sardine size data in order to improve the transparency of industry reporting. This data is in addition to information collected through logbooks and the observer program. It is being used to reduce any discrepancies between data sets by providing ongoing and timely feedback of the industry's performance, while highlighting areas for improvement. SASIA is also looking to understand spatial and temporal Sardine movements through the collation of size information.

Data related to Sardine size sampling is collected by SARDI between January and June/July each year to inform TACC setting for the subsequent quota period. Data collected for the remainder of the year are not used to guide TACC setting, however these are incorporated in the stock assessments for the fishery. It is noted that a high percentage of the catch is taken prior to June/July each year and data collected after this point is unlikely to show any significant variation.

### 10.2.3 Reporting

SARDI Aquatic Sciences has assessed the status of the stock of Australian Sardine off South Australia since 1998 and has provided scientific advice to PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture to assist with the management of the fishery. This involves biennial SpB and stock assessment reports in alternate years. These reports summarise information about the fishery, assess the status of the stock, and provide comments on future management and research needs (Ward et al. 2012). All SARDI reports are available on the SARDI publications webpage.

## 10.3 Strategic research plan

In addition to the core stock assessment work that informs periodic decision-making in the fishery, additional research projects are also undertaken to meet longer-term fishery objectives or to underpin the development of research strategies.

These research priorities are reviewed and updated annually by the SASIA Research and Management Committee.

## 11 Compliance and monitoring

PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture runs a compliance program that has dual objectives:

1. To maximise voluntary compliance with fisheries rules.<sup>5</sup>
2. To create effective deterrence to breaching fisheries rules.

These objectives are consistent with the *National Fisheries Compliance Policy*.<sup>6</sup>

PIRSA maximises voluntary compliance by ensuring that fishers are aware of the rules that apply to their fishing activities, that they understand the rules and the purpose of those rules, and that they operate in a culture of compliance.

PIRSA creates effective deterrence through the presence of fisheries officers and the visibility of compliance operations, as well as through detection and prosecution of illegal activity<sup>7</sup>.

## 12 Strategic Policy Priorities

In reviewing the management plan, a number of key initiatives regarding future management arrangements for the SASF were identified. It is considered that these initiatives will contribute to the objectives of the management plan.

### 1. Electronic Monitoring Trial

At the time of the adoption of this plan, a project investigating the following mitigation and monitoring options with respect to mitigating and monitoring interactions with Common Dolphins had commenced. The project will include:

- net monitors
- acoustic deterrents/detection gear
- thermal imaging gear
- electronic monitoring of commercial purse seining at night (cameras)
- improvements to the wildlife interaction reporting framework.

The project aims to assess the effectiveness of identified options to:

- Trial electronic monitoring technologies (cameras) to increase observed coverage of fishing activities and quantify the level of dolphin interactions in the fishery; and

---

<sup>5</sup> Rules include regulations, licence conditions, closure notices or any other enforceable instrument under the Fisheries Management Act 2007.

<sup>6</sup> The Australian Fisheries National Compliance Strategy 2010-15 was developed by the National Fisheries Compliance Committee and outlines the objectives that Australian fisheries agencies will pursue to achieve an optimal level of compliance and to create effective deterrence to illegal fishing activity.

<sup>7</sup> Prosecution may include the issuing of a formal caution or an expiation notice, in addition to prosecution through the courts.

- Evaluate the effectiveness of alternate monitoring systems in normalising the observed and unobserved dolphin interaction reporting rates, application of the Code of Practice and fishing behaviour; and
- Trial technologies to detect and deter dolphins from fishing operations.

The project aims to guide future management arrangements with respect to dolphin interactions and is due to be completed by June 2024.

## **2. Transition to electronic catch reporting**

- PIRSA is progressing the transition of catch and disposal requirements in the Sardine Fishery to electronic reporting. It is anticipated this system will be fully operational for the 2024 fishing period.

## **3. Transition to electronic logbook reporting**

- PIRSA and SARDI are progressing the transition of logbook requirements in the Sardine Fishery to electronic reporting. It is anticipated this system will be fully operational for the 2025 fishing period.

## **4. Establishment of bycatch reporting systems**

- PIRSA and industry will identify species to be considered for bycatch reporting in the fishery. This system is designed to improve reporting of non-retained species for improved fisheries management broadly across the fishery. It is anticipated this system will be developed concurrently with the transition of logbook reporting to electronic.

## **5. Further development of co-management arrangements**

- Industry and PIRSA to further develop formal co-management opportunities for the Sardine Fishery including the consideration of a management advisory committee including independent representation.

# **13 Resources required to implement plan**

South Australia's fisheries resources are managed in accordance with the *Fisheries Management Act 2007* established to protect, manage and develop the aquatic resources of the State in a manner that is consistent with ecologically sustainable development. Management should occur in an efficient and cost-effective manner via transparent methods.

The recovery of costs associated with the management of the commercial fisheries as required by the *Fisheries Management Act 2007* has been intended to ensure specific industry sectors fund the government products and services required as a direct result of their commercial activities derived from access to the State's community-owned aquatic resources. The cost for the provision of these services is recovered by PIRSA

Fisheries and Aquaculture through the administration of annual fees applied to regulated licences, or fee for service work applied on a per-transaction basis if required.

The fundamental principle applied to cost recovery of management costs is that the main beneficiaries of the services (commercial licence holders) are required to bear the cost of delivering the services required to manage their activities.

In determining the level of cost recovered from industry, PIRSA is guided by relevant cost recovery policies and reviews.

## **14 Review of plan**

A review of this management plan may be conducted at any time by the Minister. A review of this management plan will be conducted as soon as practicable after the fifth anniversary of its commencement as set out in Section 49 of the Act.

Section 49 of the Act prescribes the process of reviewing a management plan. Amendments to this management plan may also be considered under section 46 of the Act.

## 15 References

- Australian Fisheries Management Authority. 2009, *Small Pelagic Fishery Harvest Strategy*. Australian Fisheries Management Authority.
- Dimmlich, WF, Breed, WG, Geddes, M and Ward, TM. 2004, 'Relative importance of gulf and shelf waters for spawning and recruitment of Australian anchovy, *Engraulis australis*, in South Australia', *Fisheries Oceanography*, 13 (5), pp.310-323.
- Dimmlich, WF, Ward, TM and Breed, WG 2009, 'Spawning dynamics and biomass estimates of an anchovy *Engraulis australis* population in contrasting gulf and shelf environments', *Journal of Fish Biology*, 75, pp.1560-1576.
- Cury, P., Bakun, A., Crawford, R., Jarre, A., Quiñones, R., Shannon, L., Verheye, H. 2000. Small pelagics in upwelling systems: patterns of interaction and structural changes in "wasp-waist" ecosystems, *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, Volume 57, Issue 3, pp 603–618 <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2000.0712>
- Econsearch 2012, *Economic Indicators for the South Australian Sardine Fishery 2010/11: report prepared for Primary Industries and Regions South Australia*, Econsearch Pty. Ltd., June.
- Fletcher, WJ, Lo, NCH, Hayes, EA, Tregonning, RJ and Blight, SJ 1996, 'Use of the daily egg production method to estimate the stock size of Western Australian sardines (*Sardinops sagax*)', *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 47, pp. 819-825.
- Fletcher, WJ, Chesson, J, Fisher, M, Sainsbury, KJ, Hundloe, T, Smith, ADM and Whitworth, B 2002, *National ESD reporting framework for Australian fisheries: the 'how to' guide for wild capture fisheries*, FRDC Project 2000/145, Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra.
- Gaughan, DJ, Leary, TI, Mitchell, RW and Wright, IW 2004, 'A sudden collapse in distribution of Pacific sardine (*Sardinops sagax*) off south-western Australia enables an objective re-assessment of biomass estimates', *Fishery Bulletin*, 102, pp. 617-633.
- Goldsworthy, SD, Page, B, Rogers, P and Ward, T 2011, *Establishing ecosystem-based management for the South Australian Sardine Fishery: developing ecological performance indicators and reference points to assess the need for ecological allocations: final report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation*, SARDI publication no. F2010/000863-1, SARDI Research Report Series no. 529, South Australian Research and Development Institution (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide.
- Goldsworthy, SD, Page, B, Rogers, PJ, Bulman, C, Wiebkin, A, McLeay, LJ, Einoder, L, Baylis, AMM, Braley, M, Caines, R, Daly, K, Huveneers, C, Peters, K, Lowther, AD and Ward, TM 2013, 'Trophodynamics of the eastern Great Australian Bight ecosystem: ecological change associated with the growth of Australia's largest fishery', *Ecological Modelling*, 255, pp. 38-57.
- Hamer, DJ, Ivey, A and Ward, TM 2009, *Operational interactions of the South Australian Sardine Fishery with the Common Dolphin: November 2004 to March 2009*,

SARDI Aquatic Sciences publication no. F2007/001098-2, SARDI Report Series no. 354, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide.

Izzo, C, Gillanders, BM and Ward, TM 2012, *Movement patterns and stock structure of Australian sardine (Sardinops sagax) off South Australia and the East Coast: implications for future stock assessment and management*, SARDI publication no. F2011/000487-1, SARDI Research Report Series no. 611, FRDC Project no. 2009/021, final report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide.

Jones, K 2009, *South Australian Recreational Fishing Survey*, South Australian Fisheries Management Series paper no. 54, Primary Industries and Regions South Australia (Fisheries and Aquaculture), Adelaide.

Kirkwood, R, Goldsworthy, S 2022 'Assessment of dolphin interactions, effectiveness of Code of Practice and fishing behaviour in the South Australian Sardine Fishery'

Lasker, R (ed.) 1985, *An egg production method for estimating spawning biomass of pelagic fish: application to northern anchovy, Engraulis mordax*, NOAA Technical Report NMFS, 36, US Department of Commerce (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), USA.

Lo, NCH, Hunter, JR and Charter, R 2001, 'Use of a continuous underway fish egg sampler for ichthyoplankton surveys: application to the estimation of daily egg production of Pacific sardine (*Sardinops sagax*) off California', *Fishery Bulletin*, 99, pp. 554-571.

Mackie, DW 1995, *A management plan for the experimental pilchard fishery*, South Australian Fisheries Management Series paper no. 13.

McGarvey, Rand Kinloch, MA 2001, 'An analysis of the sensitivity of stock biomass estimates derived from the daily egg production method (DEPM) to uncertainty in egg mortality rates', *Fisheries Research*, 49, pp. 303-307.

Neville, P 2008, *Co-management: managing Australia's fisheries through partnership and delegation: report of the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation's National Working Group on the Fisheries Co-management Initiative Project no. 2006/068*.

Pikitch, E et al. 2012. 'The global contribution of forage fish to marine fisheries and ecosystems'.

PIRSA 2011, *Allocation policy: allocation of access to fisheries resources between fishing sectors*, Primary Industries and Regions South Australia (Fisheries and Aquaculture), Adelaide.

PIRSA 2013a, *Management plan for the South Australian Commercial Marine Scalefish Fishery*, South Australian Fisheries Management Series, Primary Industries and Regions South Australia (Fisheries and Aquaculture), Adelaide.

- PIRSA 2013b, *Policy for the co-management of fisheries in South Australia*, Primary Industries and Regions South Australia (Fisheries and Aquaculture), Adelaide.
- PIRSA 2013c, *Primary Industries and Regions South Australia cost-recovery policy*, Primary Industries and Regions South Australia (Fisheries and Aquaculture), Adelaide.
- PIRSA in prep., *ESD risk assessment of South Australia's Sardine Fishery*, Primary Industries and Regions South Australia (Fisheries and Aquaculture), Adelaide.
- Shanks, S 2005, *Management Plan for the South Australian Pilchard Fishery*, South Australian Government paper no. 47, South Australian Fisheries Management Series, Primary Industries and Regions South Australia (Fisheries and Aquaculture), Adelaide.
- Sloan, SR, Smith, ADM, Gardner, C, Crosthwaite, K, Triantafillos, L, Jeffries, B and Kimber, N 2014, *National Guidelines to Develop Fishery Harvest Strategies*, Fisheries Research and Development Corporation Report Project 2010/061.
- Smith, AD, Brown, CJ, Bulman, CM, Fulton, EA, Johnson, P, Kaplan, IC, Lozano-Montes, H, Mackinson, S, Marzloff, M, Shannon, LJ, Shin, YJ and Tam, J 2011, 'Impacts of fishing low-trophic level species on marine ecosystems', *Science*, 333, pp.1147-1150.
- Smith, AD, Ward, TM, Hurtado, F, Flaer, N, Fulton, E and Punt, AE. (2015) Review and update of harvest strategy settings for the Commonwealth Small Pelagic Fishery - Single species and ecosystem considerations. Hobart. Final Report of FRDC Project No. 2013/028
- Stratoudakis, Y, Bernal, M, Ganias, K and Uriate, A 2006, 'The daily egg production method: recent advances, current applications and future challenges', *Fish and Fisheries*, 7, pp. 35-57.
- Tsolos, A and Boyle, M 2013, *Interactions with threatened, endangered or protected species in South Australian managed fisheries – 2009/10, 2010/11, and 2011/12: report to PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture*, SARDI publication no. F2009/000544-3, SARDI Research Report Series no. 682, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide.
- Ward, TM, Hoedt, F, McLeay, LJ, Dimmlich, WF, Jackson, G, Rogers, PJ and Jones, K 2001a, 'Have recent mass mortalities of the sardine *Sardinops sagax* facilitated an expansion in the distribution and abundance of the anchovy *Engraulis australis* in South Australia?', *Marine Ecology Progress series* 220, pp. 241-251.
- Ward, TM, Hoedt, FE, McLeay, LJ, Dimmlich, WF, Kinloch, MW, Jackson, G, McGarvey, R, Rogers, PJ, and Jones, K 2001b, 'Effects of the 1995 and 1998 mass mortalities on the spawning biomass of *Sardinops sagax* in South Australia', *Journal of Marine Science*, 58(4), pp. 830-841.
- Ward, TM, Rogers, PA, Stephenson, P, Schmarr, DW, Strong, N and McLeay, LJ 2005, *Implementation of an age structured stock assessment model for sardine (*Sardinops sagax*) in South Australia: report to the Fisheries Research and Development*

Corporation, Project 2000/125, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide.

Ward, TM, Rogers, PA, McLeay, LJ and McGarvey, R 2009, 'Evaluating the use of the daily egg production method for stock assessment of blue mackerel, *Scomber australasicus*', *Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, 60, pp.112-128.

Ward, TM, Burch, P and Ivey, AR 2010a, *South Australian Sardine (Sardinops sagax) Fishery: stock assessment report 2010*, SARDI publication no. F2007/000765-3, SARDI Research Report Series no.496, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide.

Ward, TM, Ivey, AR, Hamer, DJ and Burch, P 2010b, *Effectiveness of an industry code of practice in mitigating the operational interactions of the South Australian Sardine Fishery with the short-beaked common dolphin (Delphinus delphinus)*, SARDI publication no. F2010/000726-1, SARDI Research Report Series no. 493, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide.

Ward, TM, Burch, P, McLeay, LJ and Ivey, AR 2011a, 'Use of the daily egg production method for stock assessment of sardine, *Sardinops sagax*: lessons learnt over a decade of application off southern Australia', *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 19, pp. 1-20.

Ward, TM, Ivey, AR and Burch, P 2011b, *Spawning biomass of sardine, Sardinops sagax, in waters off South Australia in 2011*, SARDI Aquatic Sciences publication no. F2007/000566-4, SARDI Research Report Series no. 584, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide.

Ward, TM, Burch, P and Ivey, AR 2012, *South Australian Sardine (Sardinops sagax) Fishery: stock assessment report 2012*, SARDI publication no. F2007/000765-4, SARDI Research Report Series no.667, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide.



## 16 Appendices

### 16.1 Performance reports

Table 9: Performance Report for High and Moderate Risks from the 2021 review of the ESD Risk Assessment for the Sardine Fishery (PIRSA in prep)

| Component        | Risk/Issue           | Description   | Risk/Importance | Objective                                    | Strategies   |
|------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------|--|--|
| Retained species | Australian Sardines  | The risk of maintaining the biomass at a sustainable level  | Moderate        | Maintain biomass at sustainable stock status | Manage fishery under harvest strategy.<br>Maintain DEPM surveys  |
| External Factors | Biological – Disease | The risk of external factors of mortality event of sardines impacting on the performance of the fishery | Moderate        | Maintain biomass at sustainable stock status | Maintain communications with Aquatic Health/Biosecurity SA<br><br>Licence holders to communicate any fish kills detected |



**Government  
of South Australia**

Department of Primary  
Industries and Regions